



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVI		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/766,731 01/19/2001		Per-Ake Minborg	57926.000006	1304		
75	90 07/01/2005	EXAMINER				
HUNTON & WILLIAMS			MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH			
Suite 1200						
1900 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, DC 20006-1109			2686	2686		

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Ar	oplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		1	09/766,731	м	MINBORG ET AL.			
		E	Examiner	Ar	Art Unit			
		1	Naghmeh Mehrpour	26	86	•		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appea	rs on the cover sheet w	vith the corn	espondence ad	dress		
A SHI THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN risions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (2) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(i munication. 30) days, a reply wi tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, may a thin the statutory minimum of this apply and will expire SIX (6) MO use the application to become A	reply be timely f irty (30) days will NTHS from the r ABANDONED (3	filed be considered timely nailing date of this of 5 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 10 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 11-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	or documents here documents here of the priority onal Bureau (nave been received. nave been received in a documents have been PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application in received in	No	Stage		
Attachmen	• •			_				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/13/05</u> .		Paper No			D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/766,731

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed reference listed in the information Disclosure Submitted on 04/13/05 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-7, 9, 11-17, are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims of U. S. Patent No. 6,826,403 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a data server derives information regarding the identities of users placing calls in a circuit-switched communication network, by initially establishing at least one known one trusted

Application/Control Number: 09/766,731

Art Unit: 2686

identity seed, in conjunction with information regarding calls placed in the circuit-switched communication network, to derive additional user identifies. Further, a user device may encrypt its secret identification number before transmitting it to data server to maintain the secrecy of this information.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 10, is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 571-272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (571) 272-7905.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2686

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NM

June 27, 2005

PATENT EXAMINER